

R.G. NUNO
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

18W: EPS SCI 10 DIS 1A: EXPLORING MARS

No. of responses = 9


Enrollment = 28

Response Rate = 32.14%

Survey Re	sults	
1. Background Information:		
Year in School:		
Freshman		2 n=9
Sophomore		5
Junior		1
Senior		1
Graduate		0
Other		0
1.2) UCLA GPA:		
Below 2.0		0 n=9
2.0 - 2.49		0
2.5 - 2.99		0
3.0 - 3.49		4
3.5+		5
Not Established		0
1.3) Expected Grade:		
A) 9 n=9
В		0
C		0
D		0
F		0
Р		0
NP		0
?		0
14)		
What requirements does this course fulfill?		_
Major		0 n=9
Related Field		0
G.E.		9
None		0

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That: Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The n=9 av.=8.78 T.A. was knowledgeable about the md=9 material. dev.=0.44 Teaching Assistant Concern – The T. n=9 Very Low or Very High or av.=8.89 A. was concerned about student Never Always md=9 learning. dev.=0.33 n=8 Organization – Section presentations av.=8.88 Very Low or Very High or md=9Never Always were well prepared and organized. dev.=0.35 ab.=1 Scope – The teaching assistant n=9 Very Low or Very High or av.=8.44 expanded on course ideas. Never md=9dev.=0.88 Interaction – Students felt welcome in n=9 Very High or av.=8.89 Never seeking help in or outside of the md=9 class. dev.=0.33 Communication Skills – The teaching n=9 Very I ow or Very High or av.=8.78 assistant had good communication Never md=9 skills. dev = 0.44Value - The overall value of the n=9 Very High or Very Low or av.=8.33 sections justified your time and effort. Never Always md=9 dev.=1.12 Overall – What is your overall rating n=9 Very Low or Very High or av.=8.78 of the teaching assistant? Never Always md=9 dev.=0.44 3. Your View of Section Characteristics: Difficulty (relative to other courses) n=9 Low High av.=1.67 md=2 dev.=0.71 Workload/pace was n=9 Too Slow Too Much av.=2 md=2 dev.=0 Integration of section with course was n=9 Poor Excellent av.=2.89 md=3dev.=0.33 Texts, required readings n=9 Poor Excellent av.=2.44 md=2 dev.=0.53 Homework assignments n=9 Poor Excellent av.=2.78 md=3

dev.=0.44



Profile

Subunit: EPS SCI
Name of the instructor: R.G. NUNO

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

18W: EPS SCI 10 DIS 1A: EXPLORING MARS

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.78
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.89
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.88
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.44
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.89
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.78
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.33
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=9	av.=8.78

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=9	av.=1.67
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=9	av.=2.00
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=9	av.=2.89
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=9	av.=2.44
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=9	av.=2.78
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor	 	Excellent	n=9	av.=2.78
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor	 	Excellent	n=9	av.=2.67
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor	1	Excellent	n=8	av.=2.63

Comments Report

4. Comments:

- Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Great TA, very helpful
- I always felt welcomed seeking help from Raquel. She was always very welcoming and understanding.
- Raquel is an excellent T.A. She is approachable inside and outside of class to help students better understand class material. She is kind and knowledgeable of the subject. Great communicator and responsive to email as well.
- Raquel was very organized and prepared. She knew all the material well and was very approachable and easy to talk to. One of the best TAs I've had.
- She was super helpful and I truly felt welcome to ask for help
- The TA was very knowledgeable about Mars, so she was always willing to help with our labs.
- Very helpful




R.G. NUNO
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
18W: EPS SCI 10 DIS 1C: EXPLORING MARS
No. of responses = 7
Enrollment = 27
Response Rate = 25.93%


Survey Res	sults	
1. Background Information:		
Year in School:		
Freshman		1 n=7
Sophomore (4
Junior Consider (0
Senior (Graduate		0
Other		0
^{1.2)} UCLA GPA:		
Below 2.0		0 n=7
2.0 - 2.49 (1
2.5 - 2.99 (1
3.0 - 3.49 (1
3.5+ (4
Not Established		0
		0
Not Established 1.3) Expected Grade:		
1.3) Expected Grade:		o 5
1.3) Expected Grade: A (5 n=7
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B(5 n=7 1
1.3) Expected Grade: A (B (C)		5 n=7 1 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B) C D		5 n=7 1 0 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B) C D		5 n=7 1 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B) C D		5 n=7 1 0 0 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B) B(C) D F NP		5 n=7 1 0 0 0 0 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B) B(C) D F NP		5 n=7 1 0 0 0 0 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B) C(D) F(NP) NP ?(C)		5 n=7 1 0 0 0 0 0
1.3) Expected Grade: A(B(C) D F NP ?(1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?		5 n=7 1 0 0 0 0 1
1.3) Expected Grade: A (B (C) D F (NP) ? (1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?		5 n=7 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 n=6

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That: 2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the


material.



Teaching Assistant Concern – The T. A. was concerned about student learning.



^{2.3)} Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.




Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.




Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.




^{2.6)} Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.



Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.



Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?




n=6 av.=8.83 md=9 dev.=0.41

av.=8.71


dev.=0.76

md=9

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:



dev.=0.41 ab.=1



Profile

Subunit: EPS SCI
Name of the instructor: R.G. NUNO

Name of the course: 18W: EPS SCI 10 DIS 1C: EXPLORING MARS

(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.71
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	1	Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.57
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.86
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.57
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	1	Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.57
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.86
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.71
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=6	av.=8.83

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	<u> </u>	High	n=7	av.=1.86
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=6	av.=1.83
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor .		Excellent	n=7	av.=2.71
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor .		Excellent	n=6	av.=2.33
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor .		Excellent	n=6	av.=2.83
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=7	av.=3.00
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor	 	Excellent	n=7	av.=2.86
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=7	av.=2.71

Comments Report

4. Comments:

- Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Raquel is a chiller.
- Raquel is such a nice person and so extremely smart. You can tell she's extremely passionate about science and space exploration. I've never seen someone explain things with so much excitement as Raquel does she just really loves science and it's great to have a person like her TA'ing the "Exploring Mars The Red Planet" class. And I'm just as passionate about space exploration as she is so I really enjoyed having conversations with her. She rocks!
- She's an amazing teacher; she's really patient with us and truly cares about us doing well and I want to give her the highest possible rating!
- Very helpful with labs and easy to talk to about issues with the text and course material.
- she was awesome




R.G. NUNO
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
18W: EPS SCI 10 DIS 1B: EXPLORING MARS
No. of responses = 10
Enrollment = 28
Response Rate = 35.71%

Survey Re	esults	
Background Information:		
Year in School:		
Freshman		4 n=10
Sophomore		6
Junio		0
Senior		0
Graduate		0
Other		0
 12) UCLA GPA:		
Below 2.0		0 n=10
2.0 - 2.49		0
2.5 - 2.99		2
3.0 - 3.49		5
3.5+		3
Not Established		0
^{1.3)} Expected Grade:		
A		7 n=10
E		1
C		0
		0
F		0
F		0
NF		0
3		2
What requirements does this course fulfill?		
Major	· 🖳	1 n=9
Related Field		0
G.E		8
None		0

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That: Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The n=10 Very High or av.=8.1 T.A. was knowledgeable about the md=9 material. dev.=1.37 Teaching Assistant Concern – The T. n=9 Very Low or Very High or av.=7.78 A. was concerned about student Never md=9 learning. dev.=1.79 n=7 Organization – Section presentations av.=8.29 Very Low or Very High or md=9 Never were well prepared and organized. dev.=1.5 ab.=3 Scope – The teaching assistant n=10 Very Low or Very High or av.=7.4 expanded on course ideas. Never md=8 dev.=1.96 Interaction – Students felt welcome in n=10 Very High or av.=8.2 Never seeking help in or outside of the md=9 class. dev.=1.14 Communication Skills – The teaching n=10 Very I ow or Very High or av.=8.2 assistant had good communication Never md=9 skills. dev = 1.14Value - The overall value of the n=10 Very Low or Very High or av.=7.1 sections justified your time and effort. Never Always md=7.5 dev.=2.51 Overall – What is your overall rating n = 10Very Low or Very High or av.=7.9 of the teaching assistant? Never Always md=8.5 dev.=1.45 3. Your View of Section Characteristics: Difficulty (relative to other courses) n=10 Low High av.=1.7 md=2 dev.=0.67 Workload/pace was Too Slow Too Much av.=1.9 md=2 dev.=0.32 Integration of section with course was n = 10Poor Excellent av.=2.3 md=2 dev.=0.67 Texts, required readings n=10 Poor Excellent av.=2.1 md=2 dev.=0.57 n=8 Homework assignments av.=2.38 Poor Excellent md=2dev.=0.52

ab.=2



Profile

Subunit: **EPS SCI** Name of the instructor: R.G. NUNO

Name of the course: (Name of the survey) 18W: EPS SCI 10 DIS 1B: EXPLORING MARS

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	Ī	Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.10
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	4	Very High or Always	n=9	av.=7.78
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.29
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=10	av.=7.40
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	\vdash	Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.20
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=10	av.=8.20
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	\leftarrow	Very High or Always	n=10	av.=7.10
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=10	av.=7.90

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	.	High	n=10	av.=1.70
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=10	av.=1.90
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=10	av.=2.30
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=10	av.=2.10
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=8	av.=2.38
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor	 	Excellent	n=9	av.=2.22
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor	 	Excellent	n=9	av.=2.22
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor	 	Excellent	n=9	av.=2.22

Comments Report

4. Comments:

- Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- As much as I love Farrah and her knack for asking in depth, thought provoking questions based on the information we learned in lecture, her grading seems extremely hard compared to other TAs. I'm not saying I want to receive an easy A, but looking at my grades (as well as other students from last quarter and other sections), her grading scale is much more difficult. Her in-person assessment and reasoning for the grade do not align with what you receive.
- Best TA ever! So helpful and caring
- Raquel was very helpful and patient during the discussions. She's very approachable and willing to answer any questions you may have. However, I think that the labs we were given were sometimes a bit too easy. Overall, though, I found that they reinforced information we had been taught during lecture.